

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICHAEL D. BALL,

Defendant.

ORDER

09-cr-59-bbc-01
10-cv-613-bbc

On October 15, 2010, defendant Michael D. Ball filed a motion for post conviction relief under 28 U.S.C. § 2255, contending that his court-appointed counsel was constitutionally ineffective in 13 different respects. In an order entered on November 24, 2010, I dismissed two of the grounds for relief as frivolous and gave defendant an opportunity to add specific allegations to support his other claims. Defendant submitted a response to the November 24 order, but it contained no additional facts to support the claims he raised in his original motion. I denied his § 2255 motion in its entirety on January 14, 2011.

On March 31, 2011 defendant filed a motion for reconsideration of the January 14,

2011 order. Because nothing in defendant's motion convinces me that it was a mistake to deny his § 2255 motion, the motion for reconsideration will be DENIED.

Defendant has also asked for proof of the clerk of court's badge and grant of power. Clerk Oppeneer's appointment papers are on file with the Administrative Office of the United States Courts. His powers are set out generally in 28 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that defendant Michael D. Ball's motion for reconsideration of the January 14, 2011 order, dkt. #6, denying his motion for post conviction relief under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 is DENIED.

Entered this 4th day of April, 2011.

BY THE COURT:
/s/
BARBARA B. CRABB
District Judge